

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Single centre experience of the replacement of ascending aorta with different types of valve-containing conduit

I Andraloits, V Shumavets*, A Shket, S Spiridonau, S Kurganovich, L Baraukova, Y Osrtovsky

From 23rd World Congress of the World Society of Cardio-Thoracic Surgeons
Split, Croatia. 12-15 September 2013

Background

To compare immediate postoperative surgery results in patients after replacement of ascending aorta and aortic valve with various modifications of valve-containing conduit.

(n = 16, $\chi^2=3,31$, p = 0,93). In the BioValsalva group a smaller prosthesis diameters (21-23 mm) were used often ($\chi^2=36,79$, p = 0,012). However effective opening area did not significantly differ for different types of conduits with mean iEOA $1.2 \pm 0,18 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ (p = 0,09).

Methods

Replacement of ascending aorta and aortic valve from 2009 till 2013 was performed in 194 patients (18; 9,3% redo) with pathology of the aortic root. In 19 cases BioValsalva conduit was used (9,3%); in 15 pts (7,7%) allografts and in 6 (3,1%) stentless bioprostheses were used with "full-root" technique; vascular graft conduits containing stented bioprostheses in 16 pts (8,2%) or different types of mechanical valve in 139 (71,6%) were used with modification of Bentall procedure. The average age of the patients was $55,7 \pm 12,2$ years, 158 men (81,4%). 34 patients (17,5%) underwent emergency surgery due to acute dissecting of the thoracic aorta.

Conclusion

The results show that BioValsalva prostheses are noninferior to other conduits used if choosing smaller valve diameter. Further observation of these patients is required in order to assess long-term results and determining optimum type of valve-containing conduit.

Published: 11 September 2013

doi:10.1186/1749-8090-8-S1-O9

Cite this article as: Andraloits et al.: Single centre experience of the replacement of ascending aorta with different types of valve-containing conduit. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* 2013 **8**(Suppl 1):O9.

Results

Hemianarch operation were performed in 8 cases, aortic arch complete replacement – in 20 cases; concomitant coronary artery bypass (CABG) – in 33 cases (17%); concomitant correction on mitral valve – in 34 cases (35%). In-hospital mortality were 7,8 % (n=15, 95%CI 5,7%–9,1%) and did not depend on the type of the conduit used. Mortality in emergency and in redo was not significantly higher 12,5% vs 6,9% ($\chi^2=1,05$, p = 0,2). Cross-clamp and CPB time significantly differed for various types conduits (p < 0,05). Frequency of reopen due to postoperative bleeding did not differ between groups averaging 8,2%

* Correspondence: vshumaviec@gmail.com
Cardiac Surgery Department, Belarus Cardiology Centre, Minsk, Belarus